

**To:** CN=Bob Sussman/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** CN=David Bloomgren/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=James O'Hara/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=James O'Hara/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Bcc:** []  
**From:** CN=Alisha Johnson/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Thur 12/6/2012 7:21:36 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: FYI: Jim Magill on Encana call

FYI - more details on the Encana call

----- Forwarded by Julia Valentine/DC/USEPA/US on 12/06/2012 02:16 PM -----

**From:** "Magill, Jim" <Jim\_Magill@platts.com>  
**To:** Julia Valentine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 12/06/2012 01:44 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Pavillion update

Julia:

As I mentioned to you on the phone, the conference call with David Stewart, Encana's environment, health and safety lead for Wyoming just concluded.

Stewart said EPA should withdraw its preliminary study from last December, because of flaws in the EPA underlying theory of the source of groundwater contamination, the poor siting and construction of the two deepwater testing wells, and poor field and laboratory practices in collecting and analyzing the water samples from those wells.

He also said that EPA should instead concentrate on testing water wells of the residents who complain of unpalatable water and test that water for naturally occurring pollutants.

I'd appreciate it if you could respond to these two main points as soon as possible as I'm pushing this story out the door for our real-time wire service.

As always you can respond by calling me at 713-658-3229 or responding to this email.

Thanks for your help.

Jim

**From:** Magill, Jim  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 06, 2012 11:39 AM  
**To:** valentine.julia@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Magill, Jim  
**Subject:** Pavillion update

Julia:

I enjoyed speaking to you on the phone this morning. As we discussed on the phone, I'd appreciate it if you could answer several questions on the EPA's investigation into water contamination in Pavillion, Wyoming, as well as comment on the Encana conference call after it takes place.

Please answer the following preliminary questions:

What is the status of the peer review process for the Pavillion study?

Have the members of the peer review panel been named?

If so, can you identify them for me?

What is the timeline for release of the peer review study?

Have the members of the peer review panel been named?

If so, can you identify them for me?

What is the current deadline for receiving public comments on the draft study?

How many comments have you received to date on this issue?

Does EPA content that both test wells drilled outside of Pavillion were structurally sound and in proper working order in light of the USGS report that it was unable to collect a usable sample from one of the wells?

Does the new data collected from EPA and USGS testing support the conclusions of the draft report released last December that the source of ground water contamination in the area is linked to fracking?

If so, what specific data sets can you point to that support this earlier conclusion?

I'd appreciate it if you could answer the above questions as soon as possible and I'll call you soon after the Encana call to get your comment on that.

Thanks for your help in this regard. I look forward to talking to you again soon.

Jim Magill  
Editor  
Platts Gas Daily newsletter

The information contained in this message is intended only for the recipient, and may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please be aware that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. reserves the right, subject to applicable local law, to monitor, review and process the content of any electronic message or information sent to or from McGraw-Hill e-mail addresses without informing the sender or recipient of the message. By sending electronic message or information to McGraw-Hill e-mail addresses you, as the sender, are consenting to McGraw-Hill processing any of your personal data therein.